

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

Application of: Michael D. Gallant et al.  
Serial No.: 10/714,712  
Title: HIGH QUALITY, LOW MEMORY BANDWIDTH MOTION  
ESTIMATION PROCESSOR  
Filed: November 17, 2003  
Attorney Docket No.: 1496.00344 / 03-1040  
Examiner: Werner, D.  
Art Unit: 2621

**Interview Summary**


Applicants' representative, John Ignatowski, spoke with Examiner Werner via telephone on June 12, 2007 to discuss the arguments presented in the May 31, 2007 Amendment. Applicants' representative objected to the Examiner's proposal to renumber claims 13-16 as claim 26-29 as being improper under the current rules. For further clarification, 37 CFR 1.126 states:

The original numbering of the claims **must be preserved** throughout the prosecution. When claims are canceled the remaining claims must not be renumbered. When claims are added, they must be numbered by the applicant consecutively beginning with the number next following the highest numbered claim previously presented (whether entered or not). When the application is **ready for allowance**, the examiner, if necessary, will renumber the claims consecutively in the order in which they appear or in such order as may have been requested by applicant. (Emphasis added)

Therefore, (i) claims 13-16 should remain numbered 13-16 during prosecution and (ii) any potential objection to claims 13-16 for becoming dependent from claim 19 during prosecution should be withheld. The Examiner may renumber the claims after the application is ready for allowance, if appropriate.

Respectfully submitted,

CHRISTOPHER P. MAIORANA, P.C.



John J. Ignatowski  
Registration No. 36,555

Dated: August 13, 2007

c/o Lloyd Sadler  
LSI Corporation

Docket No.: 03-1040 / 1496.00344